STATE OF CALIFORNIA STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD Division of Financial Assistance P. O. Box 944212, Sacramento, CA 94244-2120

ENVIRONMENTAL PACKAGE

Applicant (Entity) Name:					
Project Title:	Project Nu	ımber:			
Contact Person:		Phone: ()		
L OFOA CTATUC					
 I. CEQA STATUS Please check the one box that describes the project in this applicate 	tion				
☐ The CEQA process ☐ The CEQA process is ☐ The has not yet started for this project ☐ CO	e CEQA procemplete for this omplete Questlow)	project	completed f than 5 years anticipated agreement	process was or this projects s prior to State Water E execution dat Question 2 b	Board e**
* A draft of the CEQA document shall be provided to the Division for review an substantiating federal cross-cutter information (i.e., USFWS species list/biologi data, flood map, etc.). This will enable the Division to better understand the end on the draft CEQA document before it is sent to the State Clearinghouse. ** If the CEQA document was prepared more than five years prior to the anticipation.	ical assessmer avironmental in pated assistand	nt, cultural resonpacts, and proceed agreement	ources report, a ovide more me execution date	air quality ana eaningful comm e, please provi	nents
updated CEQA document (subsequent, supplemental or addendum) that evalu	lates the curre	nt environmen	tal status of the	e project.	
II. CEQA DOCUMENTS*** (Complete this section only if the CEC	QA process	has been o	ompleted fo	or this proje	ect)
Please check all box(es) that describe this application and submit t	he required	attachments	for the appl	icable section	on(s).
CEQA Categorical or under a Negative und		ed tration tion C ach	Environme (complete and attach	covered under ntal Impact R Section D b n documents d for the proje	eport elow)
Required Attachments E1- EVALUATION FORM FOR ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW AND FEDERAL COORDINATION AND SUPPORTING ATTACHMENTS E1.1 TO E1.13	Section A	Section B	Section C	Section D	
E2- DRAFT AND FINAL INITIAL STUDY/NEGATIVE DECLARATION (IS/ND)					
E3- DRAFT AND FINAL INITIAL STUDY/MITIGATED NEGATIVE DECLARATION (IS/MND)					
E4- DRAFT AND FINAL ENVIRONMENTAL MPACT REPORT (EIR)					
E5- COMMENTS AND RESPONSES					
E6- STATEMENT OF OVERRIDING CONSIDERATION (If Applicable)					
E7- MITIGATION MONITORING AND REPORTING PLAN/PROGRAM (MMRP)					
E8- RESOLUTION/MINUTES APPROVING THE CEQA DOCUMENTS					
E9- NOTICE OF EXEMPTION					
E10- NOTICE OF DETERMINATION					
			OD STATE H	25 01111	_

Financial Assistance Application (REV. 02/2014)

CWSRF Project #
Project Manager
Date Received

E1 – ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW AND FEDERAL COORDINATION ATTACHMENTS
Check the box next to each item to indicate which supporting attachments you have provided with your application. The supporting documents must be provided unless it is not applicable.
 E1.1 – Clean Air Act Air quality modeling data Complete air emissions chart (see Evaluation Form below) General conformity and/or air quality studies, as applicable
 □ E1.3 – Coastal Zone Management Act Copy of coastal zone permit or coastal exemption
☐ E1.4 – Endangered Species Act
 Copy of the Biological Report/Reconnaissance Survey (less than one year old, extending to a half-mile beyond the projects APE) Up-to-date (less than one year old) USFWS, CDFW Natural Diversity Database, and CNPS species
 lists Project-level biological resources and habitat evaluation including field survey and species lists review Record of federal consultation and correspondence
 E1.5 – Environmental Justice Consultation record for the affected areas with the USEPA Office of Enforcement and Compliance Assurance
 E1.6 – Farmland Protection Policy Act Assessment of the conversion of prime/unique farmland and farmland of statewide/local importance to non-agricultural uses Assessment of Williamson Act lands converted and/or affected Consultation with USDA and correspondence
 E1.7 – Flood Plain Management FEMA flood mapping of the project area Assessment of flood hazard and drainage pattern alteration
 E1.8 – National Historical Preservation Act Copy of the Cultural Resources Report (with less than one year old record search extending to a half-mile beyond the projects APE) on historic properties consistent with the National Historic Preservation Act requirements
 E1.9 – Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act Essential Fish Habitat Assessment (EFH Assessment) for the State Water Board's consultation with the NOAA National Marine Fisheries Service Please see regulation E1.4 above for EFH Assessment requirements
 ☐ E1.10 – Migratory Bird Treaty Act List of migratory birds including avoidance measures
 E1.11 – Protection of Wetlands Copy of 401 Certification from the Regional Water Quality Control Board Copy of 404 permit from the USACE Wetland delineation and survey assessment
 E1.12 – Safe Drinking Water Act, Sole Source Aquifer Protection Consultation record with the USEPA Region 9 Ground Water Office
☐ E1.13 – Wild and Scenic Rivers Act

Consultation record with the USDA Forest Service Region 5 Office	
 E1. 14 – U. S. Forest Service Name of National Forest in which the project is located (attach a color map and identify the APE) Copy of Special Use Permit 	
 E1.15 – Clean Water Act (Section 404) and Rivers and Harbors Act (Section 10) Status of the permit (General or Nationwide), attach a copy 	

Evaluation Form for Environmental Review and Federal Coordination

Applicant Nam	e:				
Project Title:					
Project Numbe	er:				
1. Clean Air Act: http://www.arb.ca.gov	/capcoa/dismap.h	<u>tm</u>			
Air Basin Name:					
Local Air District for Pro	piect Area				
Is the project subject				nination?	
	•		•		
No - The project is in	n an attainment or u	unclassified area for	r all federal criteria p	oollutants.	
☐ Yes - The project is pollutant. Include inform applicable. If estimated the needs of current polhow the proposed capa • The Lead Agency sh year) in the chart belo • Also, attach any air of	nation to indicate the emissions (below) pulation projections city increase was c all provide the est w, and attach sup	e nonattainment des are above the feder that are used in the alculated using pop timated project cor porting calculation	signation (e.g. moderal de minimis levels e approved SIP for a ulation projections. nstruction and opens, regardless of a	erate, serious, seve b, but the project is cair quality, then qua erational air emiss	ere, or extreme), if sized to meet only untitatively indicate
Pollutant	Federal Status	Nonattainment	Threshold of	Construction	Operation Emissions
	(Attainment, Nonattainment, Maintenance, or Unclassified)	Rates (i.e., moderate, serious, severe, or extreme)	Significance for Project Air Basin (if applicable)	Emissions (Tons/Year)	(Tons/Year)
Ozone (O ₃)					
Carbon Monoxide (CO)					
Oxides of Nitrogen (NO _x)					
Reactive Organic Gases (ROG)					
Volatile Organic Compounds (VOC)					
Lead (Pb)					
Particulate Matter less than 2.5 microns in diameter (PM _{2.5})					
Particulate Matter less than 10 microns in					

Sulfur Dioxide (SO ₂)			

2. Coastal Zone Management Act: http://coastal.ca.gov/address.html &/or http://www.bcdc.ca.gov/
Is any portion of the project site located within the coastal zone?
No - The project is not within the coastal zone, explain:
☐ Yes - Describe the project location with respect to coastal areas and the status of the coastal zone permit, and provide a copy of the coastal zone permit or coastal exemption:

3. Endangered Species Act (ESA): https://www.fws.gov/cno/weare.html Does the project involve any direct effects from construction activities, or indirect effects such as growth inducement that may affect federally listed threatened or endangered species or their critical habitat that are known, or have a potential, to occur on-site, in the surrounding area, or in the service area? Required documents: Attach project-level biological surveys, evaluations analyzing the project's direct and indirect effects on special-status species, and an up-to-date species list (less than one year old from the United States Fish and Wildlife Service, the California Natural Diversity Database and the California Native Plant Society) for the project area. \[\begin{align*} No - Discuss why the project will not affect any federally listed special status species: \end{align*}
Does the project involve any direct effects from construction activities, or indirect effects such as growth inducement that may affect federally listed threatened or endangered species or their critical habitat that are known, or have a potential, to occur on-site, in the surrounding area, or in the service area? • Required documents: Attach project-level biological surveys, evaluations analyzing the project's direct and indirect effects on special-status species, and an up-to-date species list (less than one year old from the United States Fish and Wildlife Service, the California Natural Diversity Database and the California Native Plant Society) for the project area.
inducement that may affect federally listed threatened or endangered species or their critical habitat that are known, or have a potential, to occur on-site, in the surrounding area, or in the service area? • Required documents: Attach project-level biological surveys, evaluations analyzing the project's direct and indirect effects on special-status species, and an up-to-date species list (less than one year old from the United States Fish and Wildlife Service, the California Natural Diversity Database and the California Native Plant Society) for the project area.
indirect effects on special-status species, and an up-to-date species list (less than one year old from the United States Fish and Wildlife Service, the California Natural Diversity Database and the California Native Plant Society) for the project area.
☐ No - Discuss why the project will not affect any federally listed special status species:
Yes - Provide information on federally listed species that could potentially be affected by this project and any proposed avoidance and compensation measures so that the State Water Board can initiate informal/formal consultation with the applicable federally designated agency. Explain any previous ESA consultations/coordination conducted with the National Marine Fisheries Service or US Fish and Wildlife Service for the project:

4. Environmental Justice: Does the project involve an activity that is likely to be of particular interest to or have particular impact upon minority, low-income, or indigenous populations, or tribes?
☐ No - Selecting "No" means that this action is not likely to be of any particular interest to or have an effect on these populations or tribes, explain:
 Yes - If you answer yes, please check at least one of the boxes and provide a brief explanation below: ☐ The project is likely to affect the health of these populations. ☐ The project is likely to affect the environmental conditions of these populations. ☐ The project is likely to present an opportunity to address an existing disproportionate impact of these populations. ☐ The project is likely to result in the collection of information or data that could be used to assess potential impacts on the health or environmental conditions of these populations. ☐ The project is likely to affect the availability of information to these populations. ☐ Other reasons (please describe): Briefly explain the answer:
5. Farmland Protection Policy Act:
http://www.conservation.ca.gov/DLRP/fmmp/Pages/Index.aspx Is any portion of the project located on important farmland?
☐ No - The project will not affect protected farmland. Explain:
Yes - Include information on the acreage that would be converted from important farmland to other uses. Indicate if any portion of the project boundaries is under a Williamson Act Contract and specify the amount of acreage affected:

6. Flood Plain Management:
http://www.water.ca.gov/floodmgmt/lrafmo/fmb/fes/best_available_maps/ Is any portion of the project located within a 100-year floodplain as depicted on a floodplain map or otherwise designated by the Federal Emergency Management Agency?
Required documents: Attach a floodplain map. https://msc.fema.gov/portal
□ No - Provide a description of the project location with respect to streams and potential floodplains: □
Yes - Describe the floodplain, and include a floodplains/wetlands assessment. Describe any measures and/or project design modifications that would minimize or avoid flood damage by the project:

7. National Historic Preservation Act:
http://ceres.ca.gov/nahc & http://ohp.parks.ca.gov/pages/1068/files/IC%20Roster.pdf
Identify the area of potential effects (APE) with both cartographic and textual descriptions, including construction, staging areas, and depth of any excavation. (Note: the APE is three dimensional and includes all areas that may be affected by the project, including the surface area and extending below ground to the depth of any project excavations).
• Required documents: Attach a copy of a Cultural Resources Report prepared by a qualified professional that includes a current records search (less than one year old, extending to a half-mile beyond the project APE), with maps showing all sites and surveys drawn in relation to the project area, records of Native American consultation, and a consultation letter for the State Water Board to use for consultation with the State Historic Preservation Officer.
Summarize the information provided below:
8. Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act: Does the project involve any direct effects from construction activities, or indirect effects such as growth inducement that may adversely affect essential fish habitat?
☐ No - Discuss why the project will not affect essential fish habitat:
Yes - Provide information on essential fish habitat that could potentially be affected by this project and any proposed avoidance and compensation measures. Explain any previous consultations/coordination conducted with the National Marine Fisheries Service for the project:

9. Migratory Bird Treaty Act: Will the project affect protected migratory birds that are known, or have a potential, to occur on-site, in the surrounding area, or in the service area?
☐ No - Provide an explanation below.
Yes - Discuss the impacts (such as noise and vibration impacts, modification of habitat) to migratory birds that may be directly or indirectly affected by the project and mitigation measures to reduce or eliminate these impacts. Include a list of all migratory birds that could occur where the project is located:
10. Protection of Wetlands:
http://www.fws.gov/wetlands/Data/Mapper.html
Does any portion of the project boundaries contain areas that should be evaluated for wetland delineation or require a permit from the United States Army Corps of Engineers?
□ No - Provide the basis for such a determination:
Yes - Describe the affect to wetlands, potential wetland areas, and other surface waters, and the avoidance, minimization, and mitigation measures to reduce such impacts. Provide the status of the permit and information on permit requirements:

11. Safe Drinking Water Act, Sole Source Aquifer Protection:
Is the project located in an area designated by the United States Environmental Protection Agency, Region 9, as a Sole Source Aquifer?
·
 http://www.epa.gov/safewater/sourcewater/pubs/qrg_ssamap_santamargarita.pdf http://www.epa.gov/safewater/sourcewater/pubs/qrg_ssamap_fresno.pdf
 http://www.epa.gov/safewater/sourcewater/pubs/qrg_ssamap_santamargarita.pdf http://www.epa.gov/safewater/sourcewater/pubs/qrg_ssamap_fresno.pdf http://epa.gov/safewater/sourcewater/pubs/qrg_ssamap_campocottonwood.pdf
 http://www.epa.gov/safewater/sourcewater/pubs/qrg_ssamap_santamargarita.pdf http://www.epa.gov/safewater/sourcewater/pubs/qrg_ssamap_fresno.pdf http://epa.gov/safewater/sourcewater/pubs/qrg_ssamap_campocottonwood.pdf http://epa.gov/safewater/sourcewater/pubs/qrg_ssamap_ocotillocoyotewells.pdf
 http://www.epa.gov/safewater/sourcewater/pubs/qrg_ssamap_santamargarita.pdf http://www.epa.gov/safewater/sourcewater/pubs/qrg_ssamap_fresno.pdf http://epa.gov/safewater/sourcewater/pubs/qrg_ssamap_campocottonwood.pdf
 http://www.epa.gov/safewater/sourcewater/pubs/qrg_ssamap_santamargarita.pdf http://www.epa.gov/safewater/sourcewater/pubs/qrg_ssamap_fresno.pdf http://epa.gov/safewater/sourcewater/pubs/qrg_ssamap_campocottonwood.pdf http://epa.gov/safewater/sourcewater/pubs/qrg_ssamap_ocotillocoyotewells.pdf
 http://www.epa.gov/safewater/sourcewater/pubs/qrg_ssamap_santamargarita.pdf http://www.epa.gov/safewater/sourcewater/pubs/qrg_ssamap_fresno.pdf http://epa.gov/safewater/sourcewater/pubs/qrg_ssamap_campocottonwood.pdf http://epa.gov/safewater/sourcewater/pubs/qrg_ssamap_ocotillocoyotewells.pdf No - The project is not within the boundaries of a sole source aquifer.
 http://www.epa.gov/safewater/sourcewater/pubs/qrg_ssamap_santamargarita.pdf http://www.epa.gov/safewater/sourcewater/pubs/qrg_ssamap_fresno.pdf http://epa.gov/safewater/sourcewater/pubs/qrg_ssamap_campocottonwood.pdf http://epa.gov/safewater/sourcewater/pubs/qrg_ssamap_ocotillocoyotewells.pdf No - The project is not within the boundaries of a sole source aquifer. Yes - Identify the sole source aquifer (e.g., Santa Margarita Aquifer, Scott's Valley, the Fresno County Aquifer, the
 http://www.epa.gov/safewater/sourcewater/pubs/qrg_ssamap_santamargarita.pdf http://www.epa.gov/safewater/sourcewater/pubs/qrg_ssamap_fresno.pdf http://epa.gov/safewater/sourcewater/pubs/qrg_ssamap_campocottonwood.pdf http://epa.gov/safewater/sourcewater/pubs/qrg_ssamap_ocotillocoyotewells.pdf No - The project is not within the boundaries of a sole source aquifer.
 http://www.epa.gov/safewater/sourcewater/pubs/qrg_ssamap_santamargarita.pdf http://www.epa.gov/safewater/sourcewater/pubs/qrg_ssamap_fresno.pdf http://epa.gov/safewater/sourcewater/pubs/qrg_ssamap_campocottonwood.pdf http://epa.gov/safewater/sourcewater/pubs/qrg_ssamap_ocotillocoyotewells.pdf No - The project is not within the boundaries of a sole source aquifer. Yes - Identify the sole source aquifer (e.g., Santa Margarita Aquifer, Scott's Valley, the Fresno County Aquifer, the
 http://www.epa.gov/safewater/sourcewater/pubs/qrg_ssamap_santamargarita.pdf http://www.epa.gov/safewater/sourcewater/pubs/qrg_ssamap_fresno.pdf http://epa.gov/safewater/sourcewater/pubs/qrg_ssamap_campocottonwood.pdf http://epa.gov/safewater/sourcewater/pubs/qrg_ssamap_ocotillocoyotewells.pdf No - The project is not within the boundaries of a sole source aquifer. Yes - Identify the sole source aquifer (e.g., Santa Margarita Aquifer, Scott's Valley, the Fresno County Aquifer, the
 http://www.epa.gov/safewater/sourcewater/pubs/qrg_ssamap_santamargarita.pdf http://www.epa.gov/safewater/sourcewater/pubs/qrg_ssamap_fresno.pdf http://epa.gov/safewater/sourcewater/pubs/qrg_ssamap_campocottonwood.pdf http://epa.gov/safewater/sourcewater/pubs/qrg_ssamap_ocotillocoyotewells.pdf No - The project is not within the boundaries of a sole source aquifer. Yes - Identify the sole source aquifer (e.g., Santa Margarita Aquifer, Scott's Valley, the Fresno County Aquifer, the
 http://www.epa.gov/safewater/sourcewater/pubs/qrg_ssamap_santamargarita.pdf http://www.epa.gov/safewater/sourcewater/pubs/qrg_ssamap_fresno.pdf http://epa.gov/safewater/sourcewater/pubs/qrg_ssamap_campocottonwood.pdf http://epa.gov/safewater/sourcewater/pubs/qrg_ssamap_ocotillocoyotewells.pdf No - The project is not within the boundaries of a sole source aquifer. Yes - Identify the sole source aquifer (e.g., Santa Margarita Aquifer, Scott's Valley, the Fresno County Aquifer, the
 http://www.epa.gov/safewater/sourcewater/pubs/qrg_ssamap_santamargarita.pdf http://www.epa.gov/safewater/sourcewater/pubs/qrg_ssamap_fresno.pdf http://epa.gov/safewater/sourcewater/pubs/qrg_ssamap_campocottonwood.pdf http://epa.gov/safewater/sourcewater/pubs/qrg_ssamap_ocotillocoyotewells.pdf No - The project is not within the boundaries of a sole source aquifer. Yes - Identify the sole source aquifer (e.g., Santa Margarita Aquifer, Scott's Valley, the Fresno County Aquifer, the
 http://www.epa.gov/safewater/sourcewater/pubs/qrg_ssamap_santamargarita.pdf http://www.epa.gov/safewater/sourcewater/pubs/qrg_ssamap_fresno.pdf http://epa.gov/safewater/sourcewater/pubs/qrg_ssamap_campocottonwood.pdf http://epa.gov/safewater/sourcewater/pubs/qrg_ssamap_ocotillocoyotewells.pdf No - The project is not within the boundaries of a sole source aquifer. Yes - Identify the sole source aquifer (e.g., Santa Margarita Aquifer, Scott's Valley, the Fresno County Aquifer, the
 http://www.epa.gov/safewater/sourcewater/pubs/qrg_ssamap_santamargarita.pdf http://www.epa.gov/safewater/sourcewater/pubs/qrg_ssamap_fresno.pdf http://epa.gov/safewater/sourcewater/pubs/qrg_ssamap_campocottonwood.pdf http://epa.gov/safewater/sourcewater/pubs/qrg_ssamap_ocotillocoyotewells.pdf No - The project is not within the boundaries of a sole source aquifer. Yes - Identify the sole source aquifer (e.g., Santa Margarita Aquifer, Scott's Valley, the Fresno County Aquifer, the

12. Wild and Scenic Rivers Act: http://www.rivers.gov/wildriverslist.html &/or http://cwp.resources.ca.gov/map_tools.php
Identify watershed where the project is located:
Is any portion of the project located within a wild and scenic river?
 Required documents: Attach a map if any portion of the project is located within a wild and scenic river watershed.
☐ No - The project will not affect a wild and scenic river. Explain:
☐ Yes - Identify the wild and scenic river watershed and project location relative to the affected wild and scenic river:
13. National Forest Lands: - http://www.fs.fed.us/r5/forest-offices.shtml Required documents: Attach a color map and identify the APE Copy of Special Use Permit
☐ No - The project is not on US Forest Service Land
☐ Yes - Identify the National Forest in which the project is located:
14. Clean Water Act (Section 404) and Rivers and Harbors Act (Section 10) http://www.fws.gov/habitatconservation/cwa.htm
Status of the permit (General or Nationwide), attach a copy
☐ No - The project will not affect navigable waters of the United States.
☐ Yes – the project will affect navigable waters with discharged of dredged or fill material into waters of the United States(404):